

SOME BIG LIES OF MONEY, MEDICINE AND SCIENCE

*A tenured Canadian
physics professor,
fired for his
dissidence, breaks
ranks by asserting
that established
orthodoxy in
economics, medicine
and science is
intended as a web of
lies to shield and
maintain power
structures.*

by Denis G. Rancourt, PhD
© June 2010

Blogsite:
[http://activistteacher.
blogspot.com/](http://activistteacher.blogspot.com/)

[T]he majority of politicians, on the evidence available to us, are interested not in truth but in power and in the maintenance of that power. To maintain that power it is essential that people remain in ignorance, that they live in ignorance of the truth, even the truth of their own lives. What surrounds us therefore is a vast tapestry of lies, upon which we feed.

— Harold Pinter, Nobel Lecture (Literature), 2005

The maintenance of the hierarchical structures that control our lives depends on Pinter's "vast tapestry of lies, upon which we feed". Therefore, the main institutions that embed us into the hierarchy, such as schools, universities and mass media and entertainment corporations, have a primary function to create and maintain this tapestry. They include establishment scientists and all service intellectuals in charge of "interpreting" reality.

In fact, the scientists and "experts" define reality in order to bring it into conformity with the always-adapting dominant mental tapestry of the moment. They also invent and build new branches of the tapestry that serve specific power groups by providing new avenues of exploitation. These high priests are rewarded with high class status.

The Money Lie

The economists are a most significant example. It is probably not an accident that in the United States at the end of the 19th century the economists were the first professional analysts to be "broken in" in a battle that defined the limits of academic freedom in universities. The academic system from that point on would impose a strict operational separation between enquiry and theorising as acceptable, and social reform as unacceptable.¹

Any academic wishing to preserve her position understood what this meant. As a side product, academics became virtuosos at nurturing a self-image of importance, despite this fatal limitation on their societal relevance, with verbiage such as "the truth is our most powerful weapon", "the pen is mightier than the sword", "a good idea can change the world", "reason will take us out of darkness", etc.

So the enterprise of economics became devoted to masking the lie about money. Bad lending practices, price fixing and monopolistic controls were the main threats to the natural justice of a free market, and occurred only as errors in a mostly self-regulating system that could be moderated via adjustments of interest rates and other "safeguards".

Meanwhile, no mainstream economic theory makes any mention of the fact that money itself is created wholesale in a fractional reserve banking system owned by secret private interests given a licence to fabricate and deliver debt that must be paid back (with interest) from the real economy,

thereby continuously concentrating ownership and power over all local and regional economies.

The rest of us have to earn money rather than simply fabricate it, and we never own more when we die. The middle class either pays rent or a mortgage. Wage slavery is perpetuated and further degraded in stable areas and installed in its most vicious varieties in all newly conquered territories.

It is quite remarkable that the largest exploitation scam (private money creation as debt) ever enacted and applied to the entire planet does not figure in economic theories. Economists are so busy modelling the ups and downs of profits, returns, employment figures, stock values and the benefits of mergers for mid-level exploiters that they don't notice their avoidance of the foundational elements. They model the construction schedule while refusing to acknowledge that the terrain is an earthquake zone with vultures circling overhead.

Meanwhile, the financiers write and rewrite the rules themselves, and again this process does not figure in macroeconomic theories. The only human element that economists consider in their "predictive" mathematical models is low-level consumer behaviour, not high-level system manipulation. Corruption is the norm, yet it does not figure. The economies, cultures and infrastructures of nations are wilfully destroyed in order to enslave via new and larger national debts for generations into the future while economists forecast alleged catastrophic consequences of defaulting on these debts...

Management tools for the bosses, and smoke and mirrors for the rest of us: thank you, expert economists.

The Medicine-is-Health Lie

We've all heard some medical doctor (MD), interviewed on the radio, gratuitously make the bold proposal that life expectancy has increased thanks to modern medicine. Nothing could be further from the truth.

Life expectancy has increased in First World countries thanks to an historical absence of civil and territorial wars, better and more accessible food, fewer work and non-work accidents and better overall living and working conditions. The single strongest indicator of personal health within and between countries is economic status, irrespective of access to medical technology and pharmaceuticals.

It's worse than that because medicine actually has a

negative impact on health. Medical errors (not counting misattributed deaths from correctly administered "treatments") are the third leading cause of death in the US after heart disease and cancer, and there is a large gap between this conservative underestimate in the number of medical error deaths and the fourth leading cause of death.² Since medicine can do little for heart disease and cancer, and since medicine has only a small statistically positive impact in the area of trauma interventions, we conclude that public health would increase if all MDs simply disappeared. Think of all the time and stress that sick people would save...

One of the most dangerous places in society is the hospital. Medical errors include misdiagnoses, bad prescriptions, prescriptions for medications that should not be combined, unnecessary surgery and unnecessary or badly administered treatments including chemotherapy, radiotherapy and corrective surgeries.

The lie extends to the myth that MDs anywhere near understand the human body. This well-guarded lie encourages us to put our faith in doctors, thereby opening the door to a well-orchestrated profit bonanza for Big Pharma.

The first thing that Doctors Without Borders (MSF) volunteers need to do in order to contribute significantly in disaster zones is to "forget their medical training" and get to work on the priority tasks at hand: supplying water, food and shelter, and preventing disease propagation—not vaccinating or operating or prescribing medication... Public health

comes from safety, stability, social justice and economic buying power, not MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) units and prescription drugs.

Bonehead MDs routinely apply unproven "recommended treatments" and prescribe dangerous drugs for everything from high blood pressure from a sedentary lifestyle and bad nutrition to apathy at school, anxiety in public places, post-adolescence erectile function, non-conventional sleep patterns and all the side effects from the latter drugs. In professional yet nonetheless remarkable reversals of logic, doctors prescribe drugs to remove symptoms that are risk indicators rather than address the causes of the risks, thereby only adding to the assault on the body.

It's unbelievable the number that medicine has done on us: just one more way to keep us stupid (ignorant about our own bodies) and artificially dependent on the

The only human element that economists consider in their "predictive" mathematical models is low-level consumer behaviour, not high-level system manipulation. Corruption is the norm...

control hierarchy. Economically disadvantaged people don't die from not having access to medical "care": they die from the life constraints and liabilities directly resulting from poverty. How many MDs have stated this obvious truth on the radio?

Environmental Science Lies

Exploitation via resource extraction, land use expropriation and wage slavery creation and maintenance is devastating to indigenous populations and to the environment on continental scales. It is therefore vital for the exploiters to cover up their crimes under a veil of expert analysis and policy development diversion. A valued class of service intellectuals here is composed of environmental scientists and consultants.

Environmental scientists naively and knowingly work hand in hand with corporate and finance shysters, mainstream media, politicians, and state and international bureaucrats to mask real problems and to create profit opportunities for select power elites. Here are some notable examples of specific cases.

• Freon and Ozone

Do you know of anyone who has been killed by the hole in the ozone layer? The 1987 Montreal Protocol banning chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) is considered a textbook case where science and responsible governance led to a landmark treaty for the benefit of the Earth and all its inhabitants. How often does that happen?

At about the time that the DuPont patent on Freon™—the most widely used CFC refrigerant in the world—was expiring, the mainstream media picked up on otherwise arcane scientific observations and hypotheses about ozone concentration in the upper atmosphere near the poles. There resulted an international mobilisation to criminalise CFCs, and DuPont developed and patented a replacement refrigerant that was promptly certified for use.

A Nobel Prize in Chemistry was awarded in 1995 for a laboratory demonstration that CFCs could deplete ozone in simulated atmospheric conditions. In 2007, it was shown that the latter work may have been seriously flawed by its overestimating the depletion rate by an order of magnitude, thereby invalidating the proposed mechanism for CFC-driven ozone depletion.³ Not to mention that any laboratory experiment is somewhat different from the actual upper atmosphere... Is the Nobel tainted by media and special-interest lobbying?

It gets better. It turns out that the DuPont replacement refrigerant, not surprisingly, is not as inert as was Freon. As a result, it corrodes refrigerator cycle

components at a much faster rate. Where home refrigerators and freezers used to last forever, they now burn out in eight years or so. This has caused catastrophic increases in major appliance contributions to landfill sites across North America, spurred on by the green propaganda for obscenely efficient electrical consumptions of the new appliances under closed-door (zero-use) conditions.

In addition, we have been frenzied into avoiding the sun, the UV index keeps our fear of cancer and our dependence on the medical establishment alive, and a new sunblock industry à la vampire protection league has been spawned. Of course, star university chemists are looking for that perfect sunblock molecule that can be patented by Big Pharma. I predict that as soon as it is, there'll be a surge in media interviews with skin cancer experts...

It is therefore vital for the exploiters to cover up their crimes under a veil of expert analysis and policy development diversion.

• Acid Rain on Boreal Forest

In the 1970s, it was acid rain. Thousands of scientists from around the world (northern hemisphere) studied this "most pressing environmental problem on the planet". The boreal forest is the largest ecosystem on Earth, and its millions of lakes were reportedly being killed by acid from the sky.

Coal-burning plants spewed out sulphides into the atmosphere, causing the rain to be acidic. The acid rain was postulated to acidify the soils and lakes in the boreal forest, but the acidification was virtually impossible to detect. Pristine lakes in the heart of national parks had to be studied for decades in attempts to detect a statistically significant acidification.

Meanwhile, the lakes and their watersheds were being destroyed by cottage industry, agriculture, forestry, mining, overfishing and tourism. None of the local and regional destruction was studied or exposed. Instead, scientists turned their gaze to distant coal-burning plants and atmospheric distribution, and postulated chemical reactions occurring in rain droplets. One study found that the spawning in aquarium of one fish species was extremely sensitive to acidity. Long treatises about cation charge balance and transport were written, and attention was diverted away from the destruction on the ground towards a sanitised problem of atmospheric chemistry that was the result of industrialisation and progress rather than being caused by identifiable exploiters.

As a physicist and an Earth scientist turned environmental scientist, I personally read virtually every single scientific paper written about acid rain and could not find an example of a demonstrated negative impact on lakes or forests from acid rain. In my opinion,

contrary to the repeated claims of the scientist authors, the research on acid rain demonstrates that acid rain could not possibly have been the problem.

This model of elite-forces-coordinated exploiter whitewashing was to play itself out on an even grander scale only decades later with man-made global warming.

• Global Warming as a Threat to Humankind

In February 2007, nearly three years before the November 2009 Climategate scandal burst the media bubble that buoyed public opinion towards acceptance of carbon credits, cap and trade and the associated trillion-dollar finance bonanza which may still come to pass, I exposed the global warming co-optation scam in an essay⁴ (as well as in an interview⁵ and in earlier essays⁴) that Alexander Cockburn, writing in *The Nation*, called "one of the best essays on greenhouse myth-making from a left perspective".⁶

My essay prompted David F. Noble to research the question and write "The Corporate Climate Coup" to expose how the media embrace followed the finance sector's realisation of the unprecedented potential for revenues that going green could represent.⁷

Introductory paragraphs from my essay "Global Warming: Truth or Dare?"⁴ are as follows:

"I also advance that there are strong societal, institutional and psychological motivations for having constructed and for

continuing to maintain the myth of a global warming dominant threat ('global warming myth', for short). I describe these motivations in terms of the workings of the scientific profession and of the global corporate and finance network and its government shadows.

"I argue that by far the most destructive force on the planet is power-driven financiers and profit-driven corporations and their cartels backed by military might; and that the global warming myth is a red herring that contributes to hiding this truth. In my opinion, activists who, using any justification, feed the global warming myth have effectively been co-opted, or at best neutralised."

Other passages in the essay read this way:

"Environmental scientists and government agencies get funding to study and monitor problems that do not threaten corporate and financial interests. It is therefore no surprise that they would attack continental-scale devastation from resource extraction via the CO₂ back door. The main drawback with this strategy is that you cannot control a hungry monster by asking it not to shit as much."

"Global warming is strictly an imaginary problem of the First World middle class. Nobody else cares about global warming. Exploited factory workers in the Third

World don't care about global warming. Depleted uranium genetically mutilated children in Iraq don't care about global warming. Devastated aboriginal populations the world over also can't relate to global warming, except maybe as representing the only solidarity that we might volunteer."

"It's not about limited resources. [The amount of money spent on pet food in the US and Europe each year equals the additional amount needed to provide basic food and health care for all the people in poor countries, with a sizeable amount left over." (UN Human Development Report, 1999)] It's about exploitation, oppression, racism, power and greed. Economic, human and animal justice brings economic sustainability which in turn is always based on renewable practices. Recognising the basic rights of native people automatically moderates resource extraction and preserves natural habitats. Not permitting imperialist wars and interventions automatically quenches nation-scale exploitation. True

democratic control over monetary policy goes a long way in removing debt-based extortion."

The essay is a thorough critique of the science as bandwagon-trumpeting and interested self-deception.⁴ Climategate only confirms what should be obvious to any practising scientist: that science is a mafia when it's not simply a sleeping pill.

"Recognising the basic rights of native people automatically moderates resource extraction and preserves natural habitats."

Conclusion

It just goes on and on. What is not a lie?

Look at the recent H1N1 scam—another textbook example. It's farcical how far these circuses go: antiseptic gels in every doorway at the blink of an eye; high school students getting high from drinking the alcohol in the gels; outdatedness of the viral strain before the pre-paid vaccine can be mass-produced; unproven effectiveness; no requirement to prove effectiveness; government guarantees to corporate manufacturers against client lawsuits; university safety officers teaching students how to cough; etc.

Pure madness. Has something triggered our genetically ingrained First World stupidity reflex? Is this part of our march towards fascism?⁸

Here is another one. Educators promote the lie that we learn because we are taught. This lie of education is squarely denounced by radical educators.^{9, 10} University professors design curricula as though the students actually learn every element that is delivered, whereas the truth is that students don't learn the delivered material and everyone only learns what they learn. One could dramatically change the order in which courses are delivered and it would make no measurable difference in how much students learn. Students deliver nonsense,

Some Big Lies of Money, Medicine and Science

Continued from page 4

and professors don't care. Obedience and indoctrination are all that matter, so the only required skill is bluffing. Students know this and those who do not don't know what they know, don't know themselves.^{8,9,10}

Pick any expert opinion or dominant paradigm: it's part of a racket. We can't know the truth because the truth is brutal. ∞

About the Author:

Denis G. Rancourt, PhD, was a tenured and full professor at the University of Ottawa in Canada. He was trained as a physicist and practised physics, Earth sciences and environmental science—areas in which he was funded by a national agency and ran an internationally recognised laboratory. Dr Rancourt has had over 100 articles published in leading scientific journals. He developed popular activism courses and was an outspoken critic of the

university administration and a defender of student and Palestinian rights. He was fired for his dissidence in 2009 (see <http://www.academicfreedom.ca>).

Dr Rancourt can be contacted via his blogsite, <http://activistteacher.blogspot.com>.

Editor's Note:

Dr Rancourt's article was first published as "Some Big Lies of Science" at <http://activistteacher.blogspot.com/2010/06/some-big-lies-of-science.html>.

Endnotes

1. Schrecker, Ellen, *No Ivory Tower: McCarthyism and the Universities*, Oxford University Press, 1986
2. Radio interview with Dr Barbara Starfield, CHUO FM 89.1, Ottawa, January 21, 2010, <http://trainradio.blogspot.com/2010/01/health-care-in-united-states.html>
3. *Nature* 2007; 449:382-383, <http://www.nature.com/news/2007/07>

0924/full/449382a.html

4. <http://activistteacher.blogspot.com/2007/02/global-warming-truth-or-dare.html>; <http://climateguy.blogspot.com/>

5. Jay, D.O., "Questioning Climate Politics: Denis Rancourt says the 'global warming myth' is part of the problem", interview in *The Dominion*, April 11, 2007, <http://www.dominionpaper.ca/articles/1110>

6. <http://www.counterpunch.org/cockburn06092007.html>; <http://climateguy.blogspot.com>

7. <http://activistteacher.blogspot.com/2007/05/dgr-in-my-article-entitled-global.html>

8. <http://activistteacher.blogspot.com/2010/01/canadian-education-as-impetus-towards.html>

9. Freire, Paulo, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*, Continuum, New York, London, 1970, 1993, 2000

10. Rancière, Jacques, *The Ignorant Schoolmaster*, Stanford University Press, Palo Alto, 1991